

In the High Court at Calcutta Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction (Appellate Side)

W.P. No. 9438 (W) of 2014;

In the matter of :

An application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuabce of a writ of Mandamus or any other writ or writs as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper ;

And

In the matter of : A Public Interest Litigation ;


And

In the matter of : Article 14, 16, 19 & 21 of the Constitution of India ;

And







In the matter of:

Failure or refusal on the part

Ministry of Health, Government of

India to the other Institutions established for the same Electro Homeopathy

practice of Medicine and Education as

like as the Respondent No.2;

And

In the matter of :

Respondent No.1 violated the basic

Structure of the Constitution as it

has not considered the reasonable

classification under Article 14

violated the fundamental right to

speak and trade under Article 19,

infringed the right to education under

Article 16 and ultimately infringe
ment of human liberty under Article

Article 21 of the Constitution of

India;

Conto..P/2A.

And

In the matter of:

Impugned order dated 05.05.2010

vide No. V 25011/276/2009-HR dt.

05.05.2010 of the Government of

India, Ministry of Health has no

impact to the common people or other

several Electropathy boards/societies

especially for the boards/practitioners of Electro Homeopathy in West

Bengal;

And

International Movement for

Advancement of Education Culture

Social Economic Development Corpo
rate Office situated at 1/68, Sahid

Gopi Nath Nagar, (IMAECSED).

15/2 N

7~1

P.O. Mallickpara, P.S. Serampore,
District-Hooghly, West Bengal-712203,
India.

Petitioner

-Versus-

- 1. Union of India, through the Secretary,
 Ministry of Health & Family Welfare,
 Govt. of India, A-Wing, Nirman Bhawan,
 New Delhi-110001.
- 2. Naturo Electro Homeopathy Medicos of India in short NEHM of India, C2C/123, Pocket-12, Janakpuri, New Delhi-110058, through its Chairman.

Respondents

To

The Hon'ble Mr. Arun Mishra, Chief Justice and His Companion Justices of the said Hon'ble Court.

The humble petition of the petitioner abovenamed;

Most Respectfully Sheweth :

1. That the petitioner No.1 is a registered NGO in India

V OD 10/5/1

working on various field of Education, Health, Human Rights and other social activities for the general interest of large Public. The registered Office of the organizations in Delhi and its Corporate Office is at West Bengal. Apart, the petitioner organisation has carried out movement against various social issues and National and International issues and hence competent to present this PIL.

- 2. That the respondent No.1 is Union of India through Health Secretary, Ministry of Health & F.W., responsible for overall functioning of Health & Medical affairs, regulatory and to control any other kind of Medicine, Medical Practice and education all over India.
- 3. That the respondent No.2 is NEHM of India is working for promotion, development & research of Electro Homeopathy System of Medicine. The practitioners of Respondent No.2 are misguiding the general public and even the Government that they are the only authorised practitioners to practice in electropathy. Respondent

3/3/1/N

35

No.1 has issued an order in favour of the Respondent
No.2 vide No. V.25011/276/2009-HR dt. 05.05.2010. In
accordance with the order of High Courts and Supreme
Court there is no proposal to stop the Respondent No.2
in this case from practicing electropathy or imparting
education. ***ECCPY*

A copy of the said order is being annexed herewith and marked as Annexure - P_1 -

functioning in this field which are not covered under the ambit of the order cated 05.05.2010 issued by the Respondent No.1 and as such the practitioners of other boards especially in West Bengal are not entitled to practice the system electropathy and thus they have approached the petitioner requesting to file PIL against the order dated 05.05.2010. Therefore the petitioner has no alternate but to file this PIL in this Hon'ble High court for the benefit of common people. That the respondent

Sp

Big/n

No.1 vide another notification/order No. C.30011/22/2010-HR

dt. 21-06-2011 already sent the order dt. 05.05.2010 to

Health Secretaries of all States including UTs stating

therein the order dt. 05.05.2010 would be treated as instructions of the Govt. of India related to practice, education

and research with regard to Alternative System of Medicine

like electropathy/electro homeopathy.

A copy of the such order is being annexed herewith as Annexure P/2 to this petition.

4. That the PIL has been filed for general benefit of public at large as the petition brings out the general cause regarding the pride and honour of our nation India and is intended to restrain certainmam unqualified Medical Practitioners in Electro Homeopathy System of Medicine. But at the same time when the respondent No.2 issued any policy decision dt. 05.05.2010 which should be covered for all the practitioners/Boards engaged in the same medical system, instead it has been issued only for the respondent No.2. Due to these wrong policy of the respondent No.1 which favours only the respondent No. 2 and the

0,6/1h

other Electro Homeopathy practitioners/Boards may be affected by this order. Some of the practitioners in the State of West Bengal approached the petitioner requesting that they are also the electropath practitioner of other boards/society and by the said order a large no. of people in the Country may be affected. The present PIL is confined only to the issues of general public interests especially for the practitioners of West Bengal and the petitioners has no personal interest in the cause.

zationand and competent to espouse the cause in the present PIL. That if the present petition is ignored, may result in bringing the loss of human dignity and personal freedom to provide education, research and facility for practice in the same medicine by a large number of public, Institutions or Boards. It will concentrate only in one authority or educational society which is the infringement of fundamental rights under our holy constitution. And it is most respectfully prayed if any appropriate action is

B

By Shr

not taken to stop the operation of the order dated 05.05.2010 issued by the Respondent No.1, it may result in an ugly situation and hence present petition has been filed to maintain the dignity and prestige of the medical system and ultimately to restrain the electropathy organizations/societies to issue degree/diploma which has not even authorised by the Govt. of India of State Govt. and for the ultimate benefit of the patients who are in urgent need of proper medical treatment or consultation.

6. Being aggrieved by and dissatisfied with the

Judgement and Order passed by the Respondent No.1.your

petitioner beg to move this application amongst other:

GROUNDS

- t) For that the impugned order No. V 25011/276/2009_HR

 dt. 05.05.2010 of the Govt. of India, Ministry of

 Health has no impact to the common people or other

 several electropathy boards/societies;
- II) For that the impugned order of the Respondent No.1 could not reflect the other several boards/society

OB SIN

4

to come under the ambit of the order dated

05.05.2010. Also it failed to make any guideline

for practical aspect of medical system. Only

theoretical system is not a complete system of

treatment in most cases required instrumental

treatment like in other methods;

- 7. That the petitioner craves leave of this Hon'ble Court to add, alter or amend any of the above grounds and to file additional affidavit at a later stage if so advised.
- 8. That no other petition seeking the same relief
 has been moved or pending before this Hon ble Court or any
 other Court including Supreme Court of India. The present
 writ petition is bonafide and made in the interest of
 justice.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, as mentioned above, it is therefore humbly prayed this Hon ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

a) Pass an order directing the

68/n

NP

9.

respondent No.1 to issue a writ of

Mandamus or any other appropriate

writ or writs as this Hon ble Court

may seems fit and proper;

- b) Restrain the operation of policy decision/order dated 05.05.2010 issued by the respondent in 1 in favour of the Respondent No.2 to carry on Electropathy education and practice or to amend the said order and/or;
- c) To pass any other order or orders
 this this Hon'ble Court may deem
 fit and proper;

And your petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

International Movement for Advancement of Education culture Social & Scenence Development corporation by the by the pen of Samis kr Dan Samis Kumas tun Chairman

20.3.14

SW SIN

 \sim

10.

AFFIDAVIT



I, Shri Samir Kumar Das, son of Late Rameswar Chandra

Das, aged about 62 years, by caste - Hindu, by occupation
Social Service, residing at 1/68, Sahid Gopinath Nagar,

P.O. Mallickpara, P.S. Serampore, Dist. Hooghly, West Bengal,

India, Pin-712203, do hereby solemnly affirm and say as

follows:-

- 1. That I am the Chairman of the Petitioner NGO and representing the petitioner and I am well acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the Writ/PIL and I am walk authorized to swear this affidavit on behalf of the petitioner.
- 2. That the statements made in paragraphs 1 to
 6 are true to my knowledge and rest
 are my humble submissions before this Hon'ble Court.

Prepared by me.
Samir kumay bar
Samir ky bar

Petitioner-in-person.

Samir Kumar ban

Deponent is known to me.

Joyaler whool

Advocate

clerk to Mr. S. Rancho with

Solemnly affirmed before me

on this the day of March.

2014.

Commissioner.

Commissioner of Affidavit High Court, Appellate Side Calcutta

 \sim

8.7.1x

		25.03.2014 Sl. No. 1
		ad/sd
	-	W.P 9438(W) of 2014
		International Movement for Advancement Of Education Culture Social Economic Development Vs.
		Union of India & Anr.
-		
		Mr. Samir Kumar DasFor the petitioner (In person
		Mr. Rajarshi Bharadwaj For the U.O.I
	*	False averments have been made in the petition
		by the petitioner even otherwise the petition cannot be sa
		to be maintainable.
		We deprecate the conduct of the petitioner
		filing of such a petition based on false averment
		However, the petitioner has prayed for withdrawal of the
		petition.
		Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed a
		withdrawn with cost of Rs.2,500/- to be paid by th
-'		petitioner to the Legal Aid Services Authority, High Cour
		Calcutta, within a period of one month from date.
•	Sc	(Joymalya Bagchi J.) Sd!-A, Mishra, C.J)
	1 ±	
		29.04.14